



CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF DARWIN

OFFICE OF THE VICAR GENERAL

The Honourable Mr Scott Morrison, Prime Minister of Australia.

19.07.2021

Dear Prime Minister,

I am writing this letter on the eighth anniversary of former Prime Minister Kevin Rudd's announcement that no person seeking asylum by boat would ever be resettled in Australia.

Every person arriving after that date was to be subjected to indefinite detention on Manus Island, PNG, or in the Republic of Nauru, under 'processing' arrangements between the Australian Government and those Pacific states.

Eight years on, 127 people seeking asylum remain trapped in PNG and 108 on Nauru, according to reliable sources.

A closely related issue is the continued long-term detention of refugees and asylum seekers brought to Australia for medical treatment after spending years in Australia's offshore processing facilities on Manus Island and Nauru.

I am writing from the Diocese of Darwin, on behalf of many well-informed and thoughtful people who are deeply concerned, indeed appalled, by these consequences of Australia's current Border Protection Policy.

The offshore processing regime is **claimed to be essential as a deterrent** to ensure there are no more asylum seeker or refugee arrivals by boat.

Whatever about that claim's validity, what is in place is actually a classic and clear case of **legislation that is immoral in the most basic of ways:- an evil act is engaged in for the purpose of achieving some good outcome.**

How far can one go inflicting suffering on one group of people to prevent unrelated others from acting in a certain way?

Indefinite detention is just a slightly civilized form of torture.

It has, in fact, similarities with the use of "human shields" in warfare.

We have now, a debt of gratitude to these indefinite detainees: they have been our human shields, deterring unwanted others from attempting to reach our shores by boat.

2.

But back to 2013.

With relentless regularity, Government Ministers have blatantly repudiated and debunked the raft of concerns raised by United Nations personnel, the Human Rights Commission, Church Leaders, Medical and Legal Experts, Children's Welfare Organisations, and other highly principled members of the public. Our elected leaders simply take cover behind a Jericho Wall of total secrecy regarding Operation Sovereign Borders, the treatment of persons intercepted at sea and those in immigration detention centres generally.

Tragically, as might have been expected, the incidence of psychological damage and self-harm is prevalent among such long-term detainees.

The crippling cost of maintaining the current detention arrangements is also a very real concern, putting huge pressure on the budget. Is this unnecessary outlay to go on and on unchecked?

Here is the first and preferred proposal I would like to make:-

Operation Sovereign Borders involved from the start a **two-pronged strategy**, characterized by **(1) a deterrence policy** (*"Don't attempt to come here by boat or you will face the unbearable treatment we are meting out to our detainees"*) and **(2) by the diligent efficiency of our Australian Defence Forces** monitoring and controlling the seas surrounding us.

Has not our experience over recent years shown that the Australian Defence Forces are quite capable by their own resources, of achieving the goal of "stopping the boats" to the point that we no longer need the deterrent factor associated with our costly and inhumane indefinite detention policy?

We should henceforth guard our shores by military skill and effective intelligence and re-assume responsibility ourselves for on-shore processing as swiftly as possible.

Why not consider the feasibility of a carefully planned, once and for all AMNESTY, with cross-party support, for as many such detainees as possible?

What an innovative and laudatory move that would be! Our international standing would be greatly enhanced by such an act.

If the above is rejected out of hand and an objective appraisal of it simply vetoed, then I would make the following (fall-back) proposal:

3.

It was back in August 2012 that the Expert Panel on Asylum Seekers delivered its Report.

I believe it is time for another “Expert Panel” to be set up on cross-party lines to review the situation and to indicate what changes can now be made to the conclusions and outcomes of the earlier Report.

Australia is capable of something a lot savvier and more generous than our current harsh and mean treatment of asylum seekers and refugees. The majority of people I encounter are most uncomfortable with this ongoing reprehensible phenomenon and there is a sense that, as Australians, we are better than this.

As a person with good moral principles, can you stand aloof and not do something to achieve **a more positive and humane future** for these already damaged and hapless human beings?

We should bring them out of their current confinement into the realm of freedom and opportunity that we Australians inhabit and so put an end to this reprehensible 8-year episode.

With appreciation for the many good things our elected Members are doing,



(Father) Malcolm P. Fyfe msc
Vicar General,
Catholic Diocese of Darwin.

“It is in pardoning that we are pardoned.” Prayer of St Francis.